

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/698,310	10/21	7/2000	William L. Reber	83528	6204
22242	7590 11/04/2005			EXAMINER	
		AND FLANNER	KRAMER, JAMES A		
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3627	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/698,310	REBER, WILLIAM L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James A. Kramer	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine parent patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under A 	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accompany and request that any objection to the	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/698,310

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2,5,6,7,11,12,13,16,17,18,22,23,26,27,28 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Henry.

Henry teaches a product recognition apparatus which provides a convenient way for a customer to obtain information about an item without first having to know what the item is. Henry teaches the system specifically used for an produce item, where a produce item is placed over a window in the data collector, the item is illuminated and the spectrum of the diffuse reflected light from the item is measure (column 1; lines 35-39). Examiner notes that a produce item represents Applicant's product and the previous teaching represents capturing an image.

Henry further teaches the terminal determines candidate items and displays the candidates for operator verification (column 1; lines 40-44). Examiner notes that this represents providing a plurality of object classes and receiving an object class selection from the menu.

Henry further teaches a recognition apparatus and method of obtaining information about the items (column 1; lines 48-50). Examiner notes that this represents providing task menu specific to the object class and receiving a task selection from the task menu. In addition the

Application/Control Number: 09/698,310

Art Unit: 3627

system facilitates a task associated with the object (e.g. recipe, nutritional and other information for the items).

Examiner further notes Henry teaches the object class including a product class (e.g. produce).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,4,8,9,10,14,15,19,20,21,24,25,29,30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henry in view of Slater et al

Henry does not teach a person, company, location or event object class.

Slater teaches image recognition techniques including content identification which includes the identification of one or more objects in a scene captured by an original image.

Scene objects may include people, animals, plants, machinery and equipment (see column 5, lines 50-60).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Henry, in order to recognize scene objects via the object recognition data collector of Henry and then provide the user with information (tasks) associated with the identified scene objects. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the art provided in order to allow user to quickly ascertain information desired about an object.

Art Unit: 3627

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Appeal Brief, filed 8/11/05, with respect to the rejection of claims 3,4,8,9,10,14,15,19,20,21,24,25,29,30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/11/05 with respect to the rejection of claims 1,2,5,6,7,11,12,13,16,17,18,22,23,26,27,28 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Henry have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Henry does not teach displaying object classes but rather candidate objects.

Examiner respectfully disagrees and asserts that the object verification feature of Henry supports object classes. For instance, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would realize that most grocery stores have multiple varieties of each piece of produce (e.g. organic tomatoes, local tomatoes, regular tomatoes, etc.). Therefore it is consistent with the teaching that the verification software would include an object classes (i.e. tomatoes) and then require verification of the specific type of tomatoes (candidate object).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272 6783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272 6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197/(toll-free).

Art Unit 3627

jak